

# CONTRACT CRYSTAL GROWTH AND FABRICATION SERVICES

Quarterly Technical Report #3

Period: November 1989 through January 1990

Report Date: February 9, 1990

Contract Number: N00014-89-C-2222

Contributors: J. E. Creamer, R. Metzl, D. M. Murrell, M. H. Randles

Prepared by: M. H. Randles

Airtron Division of Litton Systems, Inc.

PO Box 410168

Charlotte, N. C. 28241-0168

# DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public releases
Distribution Unlimited

Submitted to: Dr. Charles Marquardt, COTR

Naval Research Laboratory

Code 6551

4555 Overlook Ave., SW

Washington, D. C. 20375-5000

### **SUMMARY**

This program is intended to give the scientists at the Naval Research Laboratory the ability to evaluate new solid state laser crystals. During the third quarter of this contract five growth runs were performed and three spectroscopic cubes were fabricated.

# CRYSTAL GROWTH

The five crystals grown were gadolinium scandium gallium garnet (GSGG) doped with erbium and / or thulium. The presumed congruent composition for undoped GSGG is Gd<sub>2.96</sub>Sc<sub>1.9</sub>Ga<sub>3.14</sub>O<sub>12</sub>. The melt compositions are listed in Table 1. If it is assumed that the distribution coefficients are unity then the crystal compositions will be the same. We have assumed that erbium has a unity value and that thulium has a value of 1.2. Therefore, the nominal doping of Tm in the crystal will be approximately 20% higher than in the melt. The melts for NRL-6 and 7 were targeted to be 30%Er and 5%Tm, but the growth engineer miscalculated the composition. The compositions in Table 1 are the actual ones formulated

tormulated.	1 PVCTNI CO	10-18- CEVC-6 3/300
2 226150/	IN SHATE LASERS, CRYSTAL 20	2 ( 2 × 2 ) ( × 3 × 2
Keyosok DS.	TABLE 1: Compositions  GADOLINIUM, SCHUDIN	0.1101
THOMAS .	GADOLINIUM SCAUDIN	A CA MINISTER OF
Growth Run	Melt composition N	ominal Doping (at%)
NRL-4	Gd <sub>2.06</sub> Er <sub>0.9</sub> Sc <sub>1.9</sub> Ga <sub>3.14</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	30 % Er
NRL-5	Gd <sub>2,91</sub> Tm <sub>0.05</sub> Sc <sub>1.9</sub> Ga <sub>3.14</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	2 % Tm
NRL-6	Gd <sub>2.045</sub> Er <sub>0.9</sub> Tm <sub>0.015</sub> Sc <sub>1.9</sub> Ga <sub>3.14</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	30 % Er, 0.6% Tm
NRL-7	$Gd_{2.045}Er_{0.9}Tm_{0.015}Sc_{1.9}Ga_{3.14}O_{12}$	30 % Er, 0.6% Tm
NRL-8	$Gd_{1.935}Er_{0.9}Tm_{0.125}Sc_{1.9}Ga_{3.14}O_{12}$	30 % Er, 5.0% Tm
NRL-8	$Gd_{1.935}Er_{0.9}Tm_{0.125}Sc_{1.9}Ga_{3.14}O_{12}$	30 % Er, 5.0% Tm

The growth conditions are listed in Table 2. Runs NRL-4 and -5 were grown with a flat interface to eliminate the central core strain associated with deep interface growth. They were free of inclusions and NRL-5 was low in strain. NRL-4 on the other hand had an irregular strain pattern in a polariscope. It was not clear

whether this strain was caused by the large amount of erbium substitution or by some other growth factor. However, runs NRL-7 & 8 which also had 30% Er substitution had very little strain and thus the high Er content does not seem to be an intrinsic problem. The strain in NRL-4 may have been caused by a non-planar interface or by a large number of dislocations. The boules are not routinely etched and a dislocation count is unavailable.

Run NRL-6 did not produce a crystal. Attempts to dip a garnet seed and to initiate growth resulted in opaque, pink, polycrystalline material on the seed. The frozen melt also had this appearance, rather than the characteristic glassy surface of garnet melts. The level of the melt in the crucible was not as high as expected and a weighing error was suspected. The melt was removed from the crucible and a sample sent for spark source mass spectrographic analysis. The results of the analysis are inconclusive. Gd, Sc, Ga, and Er are listed as "major" elements which means that the concentration is greater than roughly 1000 ppmw. The Tm concentration was 650 ppmw. Therefore, these elements were not completely omitted from the batch, but it is still possible that the full amount was not added. Further analysis was not performed because a second run of this composition behaved normally.

**TABLE 2: Growth Conditions** 

Fraction				Average Length			
Growth Run	Pull Rate mm/Hr	Rotation RPM	Oxygen %	<u>Diameter</u> mm	at Diam mm	Crystallized %	
NRL-4	1.5	50	1.5	26	25	6	
NRL-5	1.5	48	1.7	33	52	17	
NRL-6	no crystal produced						
NRL-7	1.5	45	1.5	33	36	11.5	
NRL-8	1.5	45	1.8	33	55	20	

### **FABRICATION**

Spectroscopic cubes 5x5x5 mm were cut and polished from runs NRL-4, -5 and -7. The residual boule sections were polished and delivered. A spectroscopic cube from NRL-8 is in process.

# PERSONNEL CHANGES

Two of the contributors to this report are no longer with Litton Airtron. Bob Metzl's crystal growth duties will be absorbed by John Creamer and Don Murrell's fabrication duties by Robert C. Acklin.

# PLANS FOR NEXT QUARTER

At this time a run is in progress of Cr:Tm:YALO for Dr. Quarles and no further runs have been scheduled.

STATEMENT "A" per C. Marquardt NRL/Code 6551 TELECON 2/14/90 Accesion For

NTIS CRA&I
DTIC TAB
Unanno inced
Justification

By Per Call
Distribution |

Availability Codes

Distribution |

Avail and | or Special

A-1

CG